

Wastewater-Based Epidemiological Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2

Mohammad K Parvez^{1*}, Mohammad S Al-Dosari¹, Shama Parveen².

¹Department of Pharmacognosy, King Saud University College of Pharmacy, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

²Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Basic Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia Central University, New Delhi, India.

Corresponding Author: Mohammad K. Parvez, Department of Pharmacognosy, King Saud University College of Pharmacy, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. **E-mail:** khalid_parvez@yahoo.com; mohkhalid@ksu.edu.sa

Received Date: 3rd February 2022

Acceptance Date: 2nd May 2022

Published Date: 19th May 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Mohammad K Parvez, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Background:

Similar to enteric or diarrheal coronaviruses (CoV), SARS-CoV-2 is also known for its gastrointestinal and fecal shedding even in asymptomatic Covid-19 individuals.

Methods:

An online literature search was conducted using Google scholar, PubMed, Europe PMC, Medline etc. to collect published articles on the countrywide detection of SARS-CoV-2 in various water and wastewater sources.

Result:

Ample of recent studies showed detection SARS-CoV-2 RNA in municipal and hospital wastewater as well as river and pond samples, suggesting its potential waterborne spread. Most of the reports used the molecular method (RT-qPCR) to detect the viral RNA, whereas some also quantified the RNA. Notably, the analyzed studies used different methods of water sampling and virus concentration, chose different gene-targets for RT-qPCR and presented RNA quantity as genome copy/mL or log₁₀. Several of studies also described different physical and chemical methods of decontamination or inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 in treated water. Overall, while considerable amount of viral RNA was reported widely, couple of study tested the viability and infectivity of the retrieved particles in cultured cells.

Conclusion:

The available data on occurrences of SARS-CoV-2 in various water sources suggest an urgent need of wastewater-based epidemiological surveillance as an early-warning tool for COVID-19. This would help prevent unexpected contamination and safeguard drinking water.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; Covid-19; Fecal shedding, Waterborne infection, Wastewater surveillance.

1. Introduction

Enteric viruses are primarily manifested and shed in the gastrointestinal tract of infected individuals. Waterborne enteric viruses are thus transmitted through ingestion of feces contaminated water or food. The most significant feature of enteric viruses is their transmission potential at a low infectious amount and viability under environmental stresses [1]. In humans, most of the enteric virus infections occur asymptotically or cause self-limiting gastroenteritis, diarrhea or respiratory infections. Of these, rotavirus, norovirus, astrovirus, enterovirus, cytomegalovirus, adenovirus, hepatitis A virus and hepatitis E virus cause vomiting, diarrhea, jaundice or liver disease [2]. Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped RNA viruses that primarily cause respiratory tract infections in humans, bronchitis in chickens, hepatitis in mice, and severe gastroenteritis in calves, piglets and dogs [3]. In addition, enteric manifestation and waterborne transmission of human CoVs are also known. Previously, ample of studies have reported occurrence of CoV-like particles in fecal samples of individuals with or without gastroenteritis [4-6]. Of the several species of mammalian CoVs, six (HCoV-OC43, HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, SARS-CoV-1, and MERS-CoV) are known to primarily cause respiratory infections in humans [7]. The seventh and newly identified SARS-CoV-2 that has caused the SARS-CoV-2 disease (COVID-19) pandemic, is the third most

pathogenic CoV after SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV [8, 9]. Much has been learnt from the previous experience with the SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV outbreaks, which could provide significant insights into the current COVID-19 scenario. Similar to SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV, a proportion of COVID-19 patients have shown a relatively 'asymptomatic' state where the incubation period may include a time when the first diagnostic specimen is tested positive before the onset of typical symptoms. In view of this, the potential transmission of SARS-CoV-2 during the incubation period in a 'pre-symptomatic' state has been underlined [10, 11]. This review article presents an update on the growing evidence on detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different water sources from different geographical regions, and the need of wastewater-based epidemiological (WBE) surveillance for COVID-19. In view of this, an online literature search was conducted using Google scholar, PubMed, Europe PMC, Medline etc. to collect published articles, using phrases like water contamination of SARS-CoV-2, waterborne COVID-19, SARS-CoV2 RNA in wastewater etc.

2. Enteric Manifestations of Human CoVs

In general, all human CoVs have been variably associated with enteric manifestations, including diarrhea and stool shedding. In previous studies, while an equal proportion of OC43 or NL63 infected patients showed digestive symptoms and fecal shedding [12], a higher frequency was observed

among NL63 patients with positive respiratory specimens than those with negative tests [13]. Moreover, in NL63 infected individuals with respiratory disease, nearly one-third had stomach ache or diarrhea [14-16]. Though HKU1 is commonly associated with acute respiratory infection, it has been also linked to the intestinal issues [17, 18]. Taken together, the OC43, NL63, and HKU1 associated gastrointestinal symptoms with almost similar frequency have been suggested. In line with other human CoVs, diarrhea has been reported as a common manifestation of SARS-CoV-1 [19]. SARS-CoV-1 associated enteric disease has been further supported by histopathology from patients' biopsy or autopsy specimen [19-22]. In addition, both intestinal mucosal epithelium and lymphoid tissues were shown SARS-CoV-1 RNA [19, 21, 22]. In cases of MERS-CoV, digestive symptoms, mainly diarrhea have been reported in about one-third of patients [23-25]. Of these, up to 50% of patients showed shedding of viral RNA in stool samples [25, 26]. Similar to SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV, a proportion of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients have shown gastrointestinal and hepatobiliary manifestations, such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, liver dysfunction and hepatitis [27-30]. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 RNA has been detected in patients' biopsy-specimen from esophagus, duodenum, stomach and rectum, as well as in stool and urine samples [31-36]. Notably, higher titer of viral RNA has been observed in the rectal and stool samples than nasopharyngeal specimen [34-36]. Most importantly, the SARS-CoV-2 RNA remains detectable in stool samples for several days even after the patients' respiratory specimen are tested negative. The duration of virus shedding in stools with means of 2-3 weeks, as well as the amount of detectable viral RNA has been observed to vary among patients [32, 34, 37, 38]. Notably, a recent study has demonstrated the infectivity of stool-derived SARS-CoV-2 to cultured cells [39].

3. Occurrences of SARS-CoV-2 in Sewage and Wastewater

In general, transmissions of enteric or diarrheal viruses have been well associated with various water sources, such as drinking water pipelines, wells, lakes, and wastewater. Therefore, the enteric and fecal shedding of infectious SARS-CoV-2 further increases the risk of its waterborne or fecal-oral transmission [40]. Most low-income countries have poor sanitation and inadequate wastewater treatment facilities, which potentially aggravate the risks of COVID 19 spread. The SARS-CoV-2 contamination of water sources may occur through various pathways. The surface water, such as ponds, lakes and rivers where wastewater is often discharged directly without proper treatment, can further transport the virus through the water channels into the communities. In view of the highlighted plausible fecal contamination and waterborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2, several wastewater surveillance studies have reported detection of viral RNA in raw and treated wastewater samples collected from wastewater treatment plants, river and hospital septic tanks [41-48] (**Table 1**).

Notably, assessment of wastewater samples in a Spanish low prevalence area has strongly supported enteric and stool shedding of SARS-CoV-2 even before the first cases of COVID-19 were reported [49]. In a recent report, higher titers of SARS-CoV-2 have been found in wastewater samples than clinically confirmed cases [50]. Wastewater surveillance has been thus suggested as an early-warning preventive instrument to monitor COVID-19 spread [51, 52].

4. Wastewater Treatment and Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2

The half-life of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater has been reported to be significantly affected by temperature [53], UV ozone [54], and chlorine. For effective centralized disinfection,

No.	Country	Sample source	Positive samples	Quantitative RT-PCR (gc/l)
1	Australia	Raw wastewater	4 (44%)	19–120
2	France	Raw wastewater	23 (100%)	3×10^6
	France	Raw wastewater	6 (75%)	5×10^4
3	Spain	Raw wastewater	4 (66.7)	$7.5 \times 10^3 - 15 \times 10^3$
	Spain	Primary sludge	9 (100%)	$0.1 \times 10^5 - 4 \times 10^4$
	Spain	Biological sludge	9 (90%)	$7.5 \times 10^3 - 10 \times 10^3$
	Spain	Raw wastewater	35 (83.3%)	2.5×10^5
	Spain	Secondary effluent	2 (11%)	2.5×10^5
	Spain	Raw Wastewater	13 (86.7%)	5.2–5.9 log10
4	Italy	Raw wastewater	6 (50%)	NA
	Italy	Raw wastewater	7 (50%)	NA
	Italy	River water	3 (75%)	NA
5	Germany	Raw wastewater	9 (100%)	$3.0 \times 10^3 - 20 \times 10^3$
	Germany	Secondary effluent	4 (100%)	$2.7 - 37 \times 10^3$
	Germany	Effluent	44 (86%)	$2.0 \times 10^3 - 3.0 \times 10^6$
6	China	Hospital septic tank	2 (33%)	$0.5 - 18.7 \times 10^3$
7	Netherlands	Airport wastewater	1 (100%)	NA
	Netherlands	City wastewater	1 (100%)	NA
	Netherlands	Sewage water	14 (58.3%)	$2.6 \times 10^3 - 30 \times 10^3$
8	USA	Raw wastewater	7 (100%)	$> 3 \times 10^4$
	USA	Raw wastewater	10 (71.4%)	$0.1 \times 10^5 - 2 \times 10^5$
	USA	Raw wastewater	18 (82%)	42.7×10^3
	USA	Primary sludge	36 (10%)	$1.7 \times 10^6 - 4.6 \times 10^8$
	USA	Raw wastewater	2 (13.2%)	3.2 log10
	USA	Raw wastewater	120 (60.6%)	$10^2 - 10^5$
	USA	Raw wastewater	126 (61%)	66–390
9	UK	Sewage water	4 (100%)	3.5 – 4.2 log10
10	Japan	Raw wastewater	7 (26%)	$2.1 \times 10^4 - 4.4 \times 10^4$
	Japan	Treated wastewater	1 (20%)	2.4×10^3

11	India	Sewage water	2 (100%)	$0.78 \times 10^2 - 8.05 \times 10^2$
	India	Raw wastewater	30 (100%)	$3.08 \times 10^4 - 2.19 \times 10^5$
	India	Raw wastewater	6 (35.3%)	NA
	India	Raw wastewater	6 (100%)	NA
12	Iran	Sewage water	8 (80%)	0.1×10^4
13	Pakistan	Raw wastewater	21 (26.9%)	NA
14	UAE	Wastewater	33 (85%)	$2.8 \times 10^2 - 2.9 \times 10^4$
15	Israel	Sewage water	3 (17.6%)	NA
16	Turkey	Raw sewage	5 (71.3%)	$2.9 \times 10^3 - 1.8 \times 10^4$
	Turkey	Raw sewage	9 (100%)	$1.1 \times 10^4 - 4. \times 10^4$
17	Ecuador	River water	3 (100%)	$2.9 \times 10^5 - 3.2 \times 10^6$

Table 1: Worldwide wastewater-based epidemiological surveillance for SARS-CoV-2.

the World Health Organization (WHO) has suggested free chlorine (0.5 mg/L) pH 8.0) and at least 30 min of contact time [55]. The half-life of SARSCoV-2 in hospital wastewater was estimated to range between 4.8 and 7.2 h at 20°C [53]. Recently, a disinfection guideline, requiring free chlorine (6.5 mg/L) and contact time of 1.5 h for medical sewage has been initiated in China [54]. In view of this, there is a proposed measure that includes decentralization of wastewater treatment facilities, community-wide monitoring and testing of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater samples, improved sanitation, developing point-of-use decontamination devices, and implementation of more focused policy [56]. Nonetheless, in some cases, even when the cause of water contamination is resolved, the drinking water still gets contaminated by the sewage through blockage of the drainage system, pipe leakage or pump failure. In other cases, inadequate or failing treatment processes also lead to partial removal of enteric viruses from water sources.

5. Wastewater-based Epidemiological Surveillance for SARS-CoV-2

In a pandemic situation, diagnostic tests have never been intended for mass surveillance because these are costly and time-consuming. Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) serves as an important instrument to trace and monitor enteric viruses excreted in feces in a community [57]. The provision of safe water, sanitation, waste management and hygienic conditions is therefore, essential for protecting public health during infectious disease outbreaks. In the recent decade therefore, WBE has been applied to a wide range of waterborne enteric viruses, including CoVs which are ultimately discharged into urban sewage [58-60]. WBE is an integrated technique that implies extraction of infectious agents its biomarkers (RNA or DNA) from water samples, genetic identification, data analysis and processing, and epidemiological interpretation. Wastewater samples are collected from different community sources, which are regarded as collective stool and analyzed where positive tests reflect the health status of the community in near real-time. In view of this, WBE could be a

valuable surveillance tool to monitor SARS-CoV-2, providing opportunities to estimate its prevalence, genetic diversity and geographic distribution [61-62]. The WHO guidelines recommend a preventive management framework for sanitation and water surveillance for authorities who set the health-based targets for the protection of drinking water from waterborne infections [55]. This includes assessing the adequacy of systems, defining and monitoring control measures, and establishing management strategies for water safety. Such framework for safe water can be therefore, adapted according to environmental, socio-economic and cultural circumstances on the national, regional and local levels [63-66]. In addition, sewages of hostels, housing complexes, hotels, hospitals, quarantine centers, prisons, factories and warehouses, railway stations, seaports, airports, malls, stadiums, military cantonments and other confined areas should be monitored for SARS-CoV-2.

6. Sensitive Methods of Detection of SARS-CoV-2

Development of nucleic acid-based sensitive surveillance techniques has allowed detection of enteric viruses, including human CoVs. In terms of the COVID-19 pandemic, WBE is currently being applied to detect SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater to screen potential carriers and provide early warning of COVID-19 outbreaks in the community [67-75]. The molecular diagnostic tool that implies real-time quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) targeting SARS-CoV-2-specific genes is the most sensitive method of detection in wastewater samples [76-84]. In brief, samples are collected either using automated sampling techniques (refrigerated or submersible autosampler) or the grab sampling techniques, and transported in cold conditions to the laboratory and stored at 4°C. Virus particles are concentrated using water ultracentrifugation and ultrafiltration, following RNA extraction and RT-

qPCR using SARS-CoV-2 gene-specific primers [85-100]. Based on the positive-test results, the prevalence of COVID-19 in an area is estimated using a mass balance on the total number of viral RNA copies present in the wastewater, and those shed in feces [41]. Owing to the samples' origin of different water sources and geographic regions of variable endemicity or socioeconomic status, variable occurrences of SARS-CoV-2 have been reported. Nonetheless, the overall detection rate of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in raw sewage or wastewater samples ranged between 13.0 and 100% with optimal concentrations over 106 gc/L (Table 1). Notably, the first published report by Medema et al. [46] on detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Dutch untreated sewage used the ultrafiltration method of virus concentration and RT-qPCR for RNA quantification that ranged from 2.6×10^3 to 2.2×10^6 gc/L. Comparatively, while Wu et al. [50] data based on polyethylene glycol precipitation and ultracentrifugation of raw sewage, had demonstrated viral RNA detection ranging between 103 and 105 gc/L in the USA, Wurtzer et al. [42] detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in French wastewater samples in the range of 5×10^4 – 3×10^6 gc/L. Randazzo et al. [43], using aluminum flocculation-based concentration methods, reported 2.5×10^5 gc/L in Spanish wastewater, which corroborated that of the German data based on ultracentrifugation and ultrafiltration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA [65]. Interestingly, Haramoto et al. [66] showed a comparatively lower level (2.5×10^3 copies/L) of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in secondary-treated wastewater samples in Japan, indicating the beneficial effect of water treatment on reducing the viral load.

7. Conclusions and Future Prospects

Since the first reporting on the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the fecal samples, its plausible waterborne transmission through contaminated water has become an important epidemiological issue. Recently, ample of data on wastewater or sewage surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 has

emerged from different countries. Though most of the WBE studies focused on SARS-CoV-2 detection and quantification in different water samples, couple of study has tested its viability and infectivity in cultured cells. Notably, different studies have described different methods of water sampling and virus concentration, selected different gene-targets for RT-qPCR and presented RNA quantity as genome copy/mL or log₁₀. Therefore, it would not be possible to analyze and conclude the outcomes of these data, and propose a uniform protocol and benchmark limit.

Moreover, in such a pandemic situation, costly and time-consuming diagnostic tests have never been intended for mass surveillance. Implementation of WBE as an early-warning tool is thus very much required for community-wide monitoring of COVID-19. In view of this, sewage samples of hostels, housing complexes, hotels, hospitals, quarantine centers, prisons, public toilets, roadway and railway stations, airports, malls, stadiums, and military cantonments should be tested for SARS-CoV. Nonetheless, tracking the source, spread, and changing trends of this novel virus and its rapidly emerging variants in near real-time would be one of the most challenging aspects.

8. Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

9. References

1. Bidawid S, Farber JM, Sattar SA (2000) Contamination of foods by food handlers, experiments on hepatitis A virus transfer to food and its interruption. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 66 (7): 2759-2763.
2. Desselberger U (2017) Viral gastroenteritis. *Medicine (Abingdon).* 45 (11): 690-694.
3. Macnaughton MR, Davies HA (1981) Human enteric coronaviruses. *Arch Virol.* 70 (4): 301-313.
4. Flewett TH, Boxall E (1976) The hunt for viruses in infections of the alimentary system, an immunoelectronmicroscopical approach. *Clin Gastroenterol.* 5 (2): 359-385.
5. Caul EO, Paver WK, Clarke SKR (1975) Coronavirus particles in faeces from patients with gastroenteritis. *Lancet.* 1 (7917): 1192
6. Mathan M, Mathan VI, Swaminatan SP, et al. (1975) Pleomorphic virus-like particles in human faeces. *Lancet.* 1 (7915): 1068-1069.
7. Lim YX, Ng YL, Tam JP, et al. (2016) Human Coronaviruses, A Review of Virus-Host Interactions. *Diseases.* 4 (3): 26.
8. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. (2020) A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature.* 579 (7798): 265-269.
9. Ren LL, Wang YM, Wu ZQ, et al. (2020) Identification of a novel coronavirus causing severe pneumonia in human, a descriptive study. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 133 (9): 1015-1024.
10. Parvez MK, Jagirdar RM, Purty RS, et al. (2020) COVID-19 pandemic, understanding the emergence, pathogenesis and containment. *World Acad Sci J.* 2 (18): 1-11.

11. Yu P, Zhu J, Zhang Z, et al. (2020) A familial cluster of infection associated with the novel 2019 novel coronavirus indicating possible person-to-person transmission during the incubation period. *J Infect Dis.* 221 (11): 1757-1761.
12. Furukawa NW, Brooks JT, Sobel J (2020) Evidence supporting transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 while presymptomatic or asymptomatic. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 26 (7): e201595.
13. Jevšnik M, Steyer A, Zrim T, et al. (2013) Detection of human coronaviruses in simultaneously collected stool samples and nasopharyngeal swabs from hospitalized children with acute gastroenteritis. *Virology.* 10 (1): 10-46.
14. Leung TF, Chan PK, Wong WK, et al. (2012) Human coronavirus NL63 in children, epidemiology, disease spectrum, and genetic diversity. *Hong Kong Med J.* 2 (1): 27-30.
15. Vabret A, Mourez T, Gouarin S, et al. (2003) An outbreak of coronavirus OC43 respiratory infection in Normandy, France. *Clin Infect Dis.* 36 (8): 985-989.
16. Vabret A, Mourez T, Dina J, et al. (2005) Human coronavirus NL63, France. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 11 (8): 1225-1229.
17. Vabret A, Dina J, Gouarin S, et al. (2006) Detection of the new human coronavirus HKU1, a report of 6 cases. *Clin Infect Dis.* 42 (5): 634-639.
18. Kanwar A, Selvaraju S, Esper F (2017) Human coronavirus-HKU1 infection among adults in Cleveland, Ohio. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 4 (2): ofx052.
19. Cheng VC, Hung IF, Tang BS (2004) Viral replication in the nasopharynx is associated with diarrhea in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis.* 38 (4): 467-75.
20. Hung IFN, Cheng VCC, Wu AKL, et al. (2004) Viral loads in clinical specimens and SARS manifestations. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 10 (9): 1550-1557
21. Shi X, Gong E, Gao D, et al. (2005) Severe acute respiratory syndrome associated coronavirus is detected in intestinal tissues of fatal cases. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 100 (1): 169-76.
22. Gu J, Gong E, Zhang B, et al. (2005) Multiple organ infection and the pathogenesis of SARS. *J Exp Med.* 202 (3): 415-424.
23. Song JY, Cheong HJ, Choi MJ, et al. (2015) Viral shedding and environmental cleaning in Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Infect Chemother.* 47 (4): 252-255.
24. Alraddadi BM, Al-Salmi HS, Jacobs-Slifka K, et al. (2016) Risk factors for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection among healthcare personnel. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 22 (11): 1915-1920.

25. Al-Abdely HM, Midgley CM, Alkhamis AM, et al. (2019) Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection dynamics and antibody responses among clinically diverse patients, Saudi Arabia. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 25 (4): 753-766.
26. Corman VM, Albarrak AM, Omrani AS et al. (2016) Viral shedding and antibody response in 37 patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 62 (4): 477-483.0
27. Gu J, Han B, Wang J (2020) COVID-19 Gastrointestinal Manifestations and Potential Fecal-Oral Transmission. *Gastroenterology.* 158 (6): 1518-1519.
28. Parvez MK (2020) Gastrointestinal and hepatobiliary manifestations of coronavirus disease-19, potential implications for healthcare resource-deficient countries. *Gastroenterol. Hepatol Lett.* 2 (5): 7-11.
29. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. (2020) Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA.* 323 (11): 1061-1069.
30. Parvez MK. (2020) COVID-19 and coronaviral hepatitis, evidence of collateral damage. *Fut Virol.* 5 (6): 1-6.
31. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, et al. (2020) Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients, implication of multiple shedding routes. *Emerg. Microbes Infect* 9 (1): 386-389.
32. Xu Y, Li X, Zhu B, et al. (2020) Characteristics of pediatric SARS-CoV-2 infection and potential evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding. *Nature Med.* 26 (4): 502-505.
33. Tang A, Tong ZD, Wang HL, et al. (2020) Detection of novel coronavirus by RT-PCR in stool specimen from asymptomatic Child, China. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 26 (6): 1337-1339.
34. Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, et al. (2020) Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. *Lancet Infect Dis* 20 (4): 411-412.
35. Wu Y, Guo C, Tang L, et al. (2020) Prolonged presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in fecal samples. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 5 (5): 434-435.
36. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. (2020) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. *JAMA.* 323 (18): 1843-1844.
37. Sun J, Zhu A, Li H, et al. (2020) Isolation of infectious SARS-CoV-2 from urine of a COVID-19 patient. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 9 (1): 991-993.
38. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. (2020) Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature.* 581 (7809): 465-469.
39. Xiao F, Sun J, Xu Y, et al. (2020) Infectious SARS-CoV-2 in feces of patient with severe COVID-19. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 26 (8): 1920-1922.

40. Parvez MK (2020) Gut feeling, the plausible faecal-oral transmission route of Covid-19. *J Infect Dis epidemiol.* 6 (4): 141-143.
41. Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, et al. (2020) First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia, a proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. *Sci Total Environ.* 728 (1): 138764.
42. Wurtzer S, Marechal V, Mouchel J-M, et al. (2020) Time course quantitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Parisian wastewaters correlates with COVID-19 confirmed cases. *medRxiv.* 1 (2): 1-13.
43. Randazzo W, Truchado P, Cuevas-Ferrando E, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence area. *Water Res.* 2 (1): 1-23.
44. Rimoldi SG, Stefani F, Gigantiello A, et al. (2020) Presence and vitality of SARS-CoV-2 virus in wastewaters and rivers. *medRxiv.* 3 (1): 1-15.
45. Lodder W, de Roda Husman AM (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater, potential health risk, but also data source. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatology.* 5 (6): 533-534.
46. Medema G, Heijnen L, Elsinga G, et al. (2020) Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-2 in sewage. *medRxiv.* 2 (3): 1-9.
47. Nemudryi N, Nemudraia A, Surya K, Wiegand et al. (2020) Temporal detection and phylogenetic assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater *medRxiv.* 5 (1): 1-25.
48. Zhang D, Ling H, Huang X, et al. (2020) Potential spreading risks and disinfection challenges of medical wastewater by the presence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viral RNA in septic tanks of Fangcang Hospital. *Sci Total Environ.* 741 (3): 140445.
49. La Rosa G, Iaconelli M, Mancini P, et al. (2020) First detection OF SARS-COV-2 IN untreated wastewaters in Italy. *medRxiv.* 2(4): 1-16.
50. Wu F, Xiao A, Zhang J, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases. *medRxiv.* 2 (1): 1-14.
51. Annalaura C, Federigi I, Dasheng L, et al. (2020) Making waves, coronavirus detection, presence and persistence in the water environment, state of the art and knowledge needs for public health. *Water Res.* 179 (1): 115907.
52. Venugopal A, Ganesan H, Sudalaimuthu Raja SS, et al. (2020) Novel wastewater surveillance strategy for early detection of coronavirus disease 2019 hotspots. *Curr Opin Environ Sci Heal.* 17 (1): 8-13.

53. Hart OE, Halden RU (2020) Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 surveillance by wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally, Feasibility, economy, opportunities and challenges. *Sci Total Environ.* 730 (2): 138875.
54. Wang J, Shen J, Ye D, et al. (2020) Disinfection technology of hospital wastes and wastewater, suggestions for disinfection strategy during coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in China. *Environ Pollut.* 262 (2): 114665.
55. WHO. www.who.int/publications/i/item/water-sanitation-hygiene-and-waste-management-for-the-covid-19-virus-interim-guidance.
56. Adelodun B, Ajibade FO, Ibrahim RG, et al. (2020) Snowballing transmission of COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) through wastewater, Any sustainable preventive measures to curtail the scourge in low-income countries? *Sci Total Environ.* 742 (2): 140680.
57. Xagorarakis I, O'Brien E (2020) Wastewater-based epidemiology for early detection of viral outbreaks. D. O'Bannon (Ed.), *Women in Water Quality*, Springer Nature Switzerland. 2 (4): 75-97.
58. Katayama H, Haramot E, Oguma K, et al. (2008) One-year monthly quantitative survey of noroviruses, enteroviruses, and adenoviruses in wastewater collected from six plants in Japan. *Water Res.* 42 (6-7): 1441-1448.
59. Iaconelli M, Muscillo M, Della Libera S, et al. (2007) One-year surveillance of human enteric viruses in raw and treated wastewaters, downstream river waters, and drinking waters. *Food Environ Virol.* 9 (1): 79-88.
60. Bisseux M, Colombet J, Mirand A, et al. (2018) Monitoring human enteric viruses in wastewater and relevance to infections encountered in the clinical setting, a one-year experiment in central France, 2014 to 2015. *Euro Surveill.* 23 (7): 17-00237.
61. Mallapaty S (2020) How sewage could reveal true scale of coronavirus outbreak. *Nature.* 580 (7802): 176-177.
62. Naddeo V, Liu H (2020) Editorial Perspectives, 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), what is its fate in urban water cycle and how can the water research community respond? *Environ Sci Water Res Technol.* 6 (2): 1213-1216.
63. de Roda Husman AM, Bartram J (2007) Global Supply of Virus-Safe Drinking Water. *Perspect Med Virol.* 17 (3): 127-162.
64. Daughton C (2020) The international imperative to rapidly and inexpensively monitor community-wide Covid-19 infection status and trends. *Sci Total Environ.* 726 (2): 138149.

65. Westhaus S, Weber FA, Schiwy S, et al. (2021) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in raw and treated wastewater in Germany- suitability for COVID-19 surveillance and potential transmission risks. *Sci Total Environ.* 751 (3): 141750.
66. Haramoto E, Malla B, Thakali O, et al. (2020) First environmental surveillance for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and river water in Japan. *Sci Total Environ.* 737 (1): 140405.
67. Martin J, Klapsa D, Wilton T, et al. (2020) Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in Sewage: Evidence of Changes in Virus Variant Predominance during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Viruses.* 12 (10): 1144.
68. Sharma DK, Nalavade UP, Kalgutkar K, et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 detection in sewage samples: Standardization of method & preliminary observations. *Indian J Med Res.* 153 (2): 159-165.
69. Tanhaei M, Mohebbi SR, Hosseini SM, et al. (2021) The first detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater of Tehran, Iran. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 28 (1): 1-8.
70. Kitajima M, Ahmed W, Bibby K, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: State of the knowledge and research needs. *Sci Total Environ.* 739 (15): 139076.
71. Amahmid O, El Guamri Y, Rakibi Y, et al. (2021) Occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in excreta, sewage, and environment: epidemiological significance and potential risks. *Int J Environ Health Res.* 23 (1): 1-21.
72. Kumar M, Patel AK, Shah AV, et al. (2020) First proof of the capability of wastewater surveillance for COVID-19 in India through detection of genetic material of SARS-CoV-2. *Sci Total Environ.* 746 (1): 141326.
73. Sherchan SP, Shahin S, Ward LM, et al. (2020) First detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in North America: a study in Louisiana, USA. *Sci Total Environ.* 743 (15): 140621.
74. Chavarria-Miró G, Anfruns strada E, Guix S, et al. (2020) Sentinel surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater anticipates the occurrence of COVID-19 cases. *Medrxiv.* 2 (1): 1-10.
75. Foladori P, Cutrupi F, Segata N, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 from faeces to wastewater treatment: what do we know? A review. *Sci Tot Environ.* 743 (15): 140444.
76. Tran HN, Le GT, Nguyen DT, et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus in water and wastewater: a critical review about presence and concern. *Environ Res.* 193 (1): 110265.
77. Agrawal S, Orschler L, Lackner S (2020) Long-term monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater of the frankfurt metropolitan area in Southern Germany. *Medrxiv.* 11 (1): 5372.
78. Peccia J, Zulli A, Brackney DE, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in primary municipal sewage sludge as a leading indicator of COVID-19 outbreak dynamics. *BioRxiv.* 2 (1): 1-12.

79. Balboa S, Mauricio-Iglesias M, Rodríguez S, et al. (2020) The fate of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater treatment plants points out the sludge line as a suitable spot for incidence monitoring. *BioRxiv.* 2 (1): 1-24.
80. Kocamemi BA, Kurt H, Sait A, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 detection in istanbul wastewater treatment plant sludges. *BioRxiv.* 2 (1): 1-11.
81. Bogler A, Packman A, Furman A, et al. (2020). Rethinking wastewater risks and monitoring in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat Sustain.* 3 (1): 981-990.
82. Kitajima M, Ahmed W, Bibby K, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: state of the knowledge and research needs. *Sci Total Environ.* 739 (15): 139076.
83. Danchin A, Ng TW, Turinici G. (2021) A new transmission route for the propagation of the SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus. *Biology.* 10 (1): 10.
84. Guerrero-Latorre L, Ballesteros I, Villacres I, et al. (2020). First SARS-CoV-2 detection in river water: implications in low sanitation countries. *BioRxiv.* 743 (15): 140832.
85. Weidhaas J, Aanderud Z, Roper D, et al. (2020) Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater with COVID-19 disease burden in sewersheds. *Research Square.* 775 (25): 145790.
86. Hemalatha M, Kiran U, Kuncha SK, et al. (2020) Comprehensive surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 spread using wastewater-based epidemiology studies. *BioRxiv.* 768 (10): 144704.
87. Hasan SW, Ibrahim Y, Daou M, et al. (2020) Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater and treated effluents: surveillance of COVID-19 epidemic in the United Arab Emirates. *Sci Tot Environ.* 764 (10): 142929.
88. Polo D, Quintela-Baluja M, Corbishley A, et al. (2020) Making waves: wastewater-based epidemiology for COVID-19- approaches and challenges for surveillance and prediction. *Water Res.* 186 (1): 116404.
89. Orive G, Lertxundi U, Barcelo D Early (2020) SARS-CoV-2 outbreak detection by sewage-based epidemiology. *Sci Tot Environ.* 732 (25): 139298.
90. Bivins A, Greaves J, Fischer R, et al. (2020) Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in water and wastewater. *Environ Sci Technol Lett.* 7 (12): 937-942.
91. Chaudhury S, Shaga M, Lakkakula S, et al. (2020) Tracking SARS-CoV-2 RNA through the wastewater treatment process. *Medrxiv.* 5 (1): 1161–1167.
92. Zaneti RN, Girardi V, Spilki FR, et al. (2021) Quantitative microbial risk assessment of SARS-CoV-2 for workers in wastewater treatment plants. *Sci Total Environ.* 754 (1): 142163.

93. Arora S, Nag A, Sethi J, et al. (2020) Sewage surveillance for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genome as a useful wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) tracking tool in India. *Water Sci Technol.* 82 (12): 2823-2836.
94. Graham K, Loeb S, Wolfe M, et al. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater settled solids is associated with COVID-19 cases in a large urban sewershed. *Environ Sci Technol.* 55 (1): 488-498.
95. Tanhaei M, Mohebbi SR, Hosseini SM, et al. (2021) The first detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater of Tehran, Iran. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 28 (29): 1-8.
96. Ji B, Zhao Y, Wei T, et al. (2021) Water science under the global epidemic of COVID-19: Bibliometric tracking on COVID-19 publication and further research needs. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 9 (4): 105357.
97. Stoler J, Miller JD, Brewis A, et al. (2021) Household Water Insecurity Experiences Research Coordination Network (HWISE RCN). Household water insecurity will complicate the ongoing COVID-19 response: Evidence from 29 sites in 23 low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Hyg Environ Health.* 234 (1): 113715.
98. Buonerba A, Corpuz MVA, Ballesteros F, et al. (2021) Coronavirus in water media: Analysis, fate, disinfection and epidemiological applications. *J Hazard Mater.* 415 (1): 125580.
99. Panchal D, Prakash O, Bobde P, et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2: sewage surveillance as an early warning system and challenges in developing countries. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 28 (18): 22221-22240.
100. Sharif SS, Ikram AS, Khurshid AS, et al. (2020) Detection of SARS-Coronavirus-2 in wastewater, using the existing environmental surveillance network: an epidemiological gateway to an early warning for COVID-19 in communities. *BioRxiv.* 16 (6): e0249568.